

037 22 2004  
LORETTA DOUGHTY  
A.K.A.  
LORETTA BROSHEARS

P.O. Box 20910  
Quincy, Florida 32316

(850)413-8307

Application # 10/035,472

October 17, 2004

Edward J. Tannouse  
Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions  
Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313

Mr. Tannouse,

In regards to my patent through Universal Consulting Service of Tampa. I applied for a patent in 2000<sup>2000</sup>. I was working with Joseph Breaux, who was a patent attorney with Universal Consulting. In the summer of 2000<sup>2000</sup> I gave Branson Burke a check for \$6400.00. I was told that the \$6400.00 gave me a patent pending for one full year. I was told by Branson Burke that if my invention sold within one year I would get my \$6400.00 back.

~~2000~~ Despite my best efforts my invention did not sell within the year. I returned to Universal in the summer of ~~2000~~ 2001 and gave a check for \$2000.00 which was for a regular patent, I was told that I would have a patent number in 12 to 18 months.

I gave Joseph Breaux a patent lawyer of Universal Consulting, Power of Attorney so he could handle the specifics of getting my paperwork finished, and completed properly and in a timely manor. Mr. Breaux said that he understood and that he would handle everything.

According to the time line given by Universal Consulting I should have gotten my patent number by January 2004~~2003~~

In between the patent application in 2000<sup>2000</sup>, and the final dismissal in September of 2004. I suffered severe personal and emotional loss. In December of 2000 my father passed away. Then in March of 2001 my son passes away. I put my faith in Joseph Breaux to handle this matter, as he was my Power of Attorney.

I called and left several messages regarding my patent number, I never was contacted by Universal Consulting or by the Patent Lawyer Mr. Breaux.

In September 2003. I received a letter from the US Patent Office stating that I needed to reply, on how my invention of the Trach Sensory Alert System was different from the patents by a Mr. Harris in 1975 and a Mr. Einhorn in 1991. I wrote out the response and mailed it to David McCrosky. I also received a letter from Max Hindenburg who is the Supervisory Patent Examiner stating I needed to direct my inquiries to Mr. McCrosky and if my attempts to contact him were unsuccessful to direct everything to Mr. Hindenburg.

I left several inquires for both gentlemen and was never contacted by either.

As far as I knew, I would be getting a patent number as soon as I mailed the response to the letter. I gave them six months from the time I sent in my response to Mr. McCrosky.

I contacted Universal Consulting in March of 2004 and was told by Michael Burke (owner of UC) that my patent lawyer Mr. Breaux had a heart attack. I had no idea that Mr. Breaux had never gotten my patent finished. I had given him the money almost three years ago. I should have gotten my number in January 2003. I never did.

I received a letter stating that my petition was dismissed on June 15<sup>th</sup> 2004. Due to lack of response to the September 2003 letter. I spoke to Wan Layman she stated that I needed to send in the paperwork from September 25<sup>th</sup> 2003. As far as I knew I had already handled this matter I sent her a copy of the letter stating that Mr. Breaux had a heart attack. I was then told by Mrs. Layman that the paper I sent her was the wrong one and that she needed a reply. I found the original reply and mailed her a copy. I sent this certified mail.

Mrs. Layman told me to send a \$55.00 filing fee and \$55.00 fee for a one month extension. I sent her a check for the \$110.00. After I sent the money I thought everything was finally handled.

The next thing I received was a letter from William David Kiesel stating that my patent application had been abandoned. I never abandoned anything. I was told That I needed between \$2165.00 and \$2915.00 for costs and that I needed to raise between \$5000.00 and \$10,000.00 for patenting, marketing and commercialization. I thought the \$8400.00 that I had gave Universal Consulting took care of all the money needed to get a patent. I also received a letter stating that my application for my patent had been abandoned because I did not authorize Mr. Kiesel to proceed with the continuation of my application. I have enclosed a copy of both of these letters.

Then in September 2004 I received a letter from you Mr. Tannouse stating again that my petition had been dismissed, and I needed to give a reply within two months.

My application should be reconsidered because I feel I have been completely cooperative and have done everything I have been asked. I have been trying to get this patent for four years now. Despite the dishonesty of some of the people I have worked with I have stuck with this for a long time. I would like to get this settled and get my patent number so I can move on with this patent and try to sell it.

I appreciate your help in solving this matter.

Sincerely,



Loretta Doughty



VICTOR L. ROSS  
WILLIAM DAVID KIESEL\*  
KYLE M. KEEGAN  
SUSANNAH M. DENICOLA  
R. BENNETT FORD, JR.\*

PATENT AND TRADEMARK COUNSEL

## ROY, KIESEL, KEEGAN & DENICOLA

A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION

2395 DRUEHILLA LANE (70809)  
P.O. BOX 15928  
BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70895-9928  
TELEPHONE: (225) 927-9908  
TELECOPIER: (225) 926-2683  
E-mail: rkied@rickdflaw.com

CHRISTOPHER D. KIESEL  
DUFFIN R. BAGWELL  
NEIL J. COUG\*  
DANIELLE BROCK MENSMAN  
J. BRIAN JUBAN  
DAVID A. LOWE  
NITI DUGGAL

April 6, 2004

Ms. Loretta S. Broshears  
622 W. Franklin Street  
Quincy, Florida 32351

Re: U.S. Patent Application S. N. 10/035,472  
Title: TRACH SENSORY ALERT SYSTEM  
Our File: 10464.000[BROSHEARS]

Dear Ms. Broshears:

This is to inform you that because of severe health problems Mr. Joseph N. Breaux has been placed on Voluntary Inactive Status by the Louisiana Supreme Court and at this time is no longer authorized to practice law in the State of Louisiana. For the same health reasons Mr. Breaux has requested that his name be removed from the roles of attorneys authorized to practice before the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office. As a result of these actions Mr. Breaux is no longer able to practice law and can not represent you before the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office.

I am the court appointed curator of the client files of Joseph N. Breaux. Pursuant to this appointment I have obtained possession of the files in which Mr. Breaux was providing legal services to you. No review has been made of the files to determine what, if any, action is now required. However, it is noted that a First Office Action was mailed to Mr. Breaux on September 25, 2003. Although your patent application has become abandoned, it may be possible to revive your patent application. For this reason it is important that you obtain new counsel to represent you in this matter.

If you wish our office to review your files and advise you what action, if any, is needed, then it would be necessary to retain me for that purpose. We will charge a flat fee of \$250.00 to make this review. If you wish to do so, please contact me. However, if you prefer to retain other counsel or simply prefer that your files be returned to you, then confirm in writing to whom and where the files are to be mailed.

Very truly yours,


William David Kiesel

WDK:grj

Enclosures: Court Orders

VICTOR L. ROY III  
WILLIAM DAVID KIESEL  
KYLE M. KEEGAN  
SUSANNAH M. DENICOLA  
R. BENNETT FORD, JR.\*  
CHRISTOPHER D. KIESEL

PATENT AND TRADEMARK COUNSEL



**ROY, KIESEL, KEEGAN & DENICOLA**

A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION

2355 DRUSILLA LANE (70809)  
P.O. BOX 15928  
BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70895-5928  
TELEPHONE: (225) 927-9908  
TELECOPIER: (225) 926-2685  
E-mail: rkdcd@rkkdlaw.com

DUSTIN R. BAGWELL  
NEIL J. COIG\*  
DANIELLE BROCK MENSMAN  
J. BRIAN JUBAN  
DAVID A. LOWE  
NITI DUGGAL

August 19, 2004

Ms. Loretta S. Broshears  
622 W. Franklin Street  
Quincy, Florida 32351

Re: U.S. Patent Application S. N. 10/035,472  
Title: TRACH-SENSORY ALERT SYSTEM

Dear Ms. Broshears:

This is to confirm our telephone conversation this morning that Universal Consulting Service faxed yesterday the documents necessary to respond to the outstanding OFFICE ACTION. As I indicated to you, the U.S. Patent Office has taken a position with other of Mr. Breaux's clients that to revive an abandoned patent application the \$665 filing fee must be paid. Therefore, to revive your patent application it will be necessary to prepare a Petition to Revive along with an Affidavit explaining why you were not able to timely respond by August 12, 2004. In addition it will be necessary to file a response to the OFFICE ACTION. The estimated costs to do both, including the \$665 filing fee, is between \$2,165 - \$2,915.

In view of your current financial position it is recommended that you first decide whether you wish to proceed with continuing to seek patent rights. If so, then it is recommended that you speak with family and/or friends about investing in your invention. It would be recommended that you try to raise \$5,000-\$10,000 to cover current expenses and likely future patenting, marketing and commercialization expenses.

Should you desire for me to assist you, let me know. Unless I hear from you it will be assumed that you have decided to abandon your efforts to seek patent rights.

Very truly yours,

  
William David Kiesel

WDK:srj



VICTOR L. ROY III  
WILLIAM DAVID KIESEL\*  
KYLE M. KEEGAN  
SUSANNAH M. DENICOLA  
R. BENNETT FORD, JR.\*  
CHRISTOPHER D. KIESEL

PATENT AND TRADEMARK COUNSEL

**ROY, KIESEL, KEEGAN & DENICOLA**

A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION

2355 DRUSILLA LANE (70809)  
P.O. BOX 15928  
BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70895-5928  
TELEPHONE: (225) 927-9908  
TELECOPIER: (225) 926-2685  
E-mail: [rkdd@rkddlaw.com](mailto:rkdd@rkddlaw.com)

DUSTIN R. BAGWELL  
NEIL J. COIG\*  
DANIELLE BROCK MENSMAN  
J. BRIAN JUBAN  
DAVID A. LOWE  
NITI DUGGAL

September 22, 2004


Ms. Loretta S. Broshears  
622 W. Franklin Street  
Quincy, Florida 32351

Re: U.S. Patent Application S. N. 10/035,472  
Title: TRACH SENSORY ALERT SYSTEM  
Our File: 10639.000

Dear Ms. Broshears:


This is to confirm that you have not authorized us to proceed with the continued prosecution of your patent application, and that you have decided to allow your patent application to become abandoned. Therefore, your file will be closed.

Very truly yours,



William David Kiesel

WDK:srj



THIS IS REGARDING  
application # ~~10/035,472~~  
10/035,472

Attention

Petition Office

DAVID McCrosky

## TRACH SENSORY ALERT System

The TRACH Sensory Alert System is designed to alert when the trach starts to move out of the body. The temperature setting can be changed for each individual, according to the body temperature. The unit is one unit with the sensor at the curve of the trach (on the back of the trach). There is a balloon on the trach and no inner cannula on the trach. The balloon on a trach (can get skin growing around the balloon (adhere) on the inside of the body. Trachs are used changed on a monthly basis.

The trach sensory alert system is for both occlusions and for when the trach starts coming out of the body.

The differences are my unit is one piece not a piece and I don't have an inner cannula.

Loretta Brosheers  
622 W. FRANKLIN STREET  
Quincy, FL 32351

850 627 2823  
work number  
850 562 4123

My patent lawyer MR. Breaux had a massive heart attack in march and I just found out around the middle of march that he had had a heart attack. I had to call Universal Consulting of Tampa to find out that another lawyer was given Mr. Breaux's file and I did get my letter from the new patent lawyer until mid April. He wanted \$2500 just to look over my file to see if there was anything in it. I asked that he send my file back to Universal Consulting so they could tell me what to do next.

It took 2 1/2 weeks to get the file back from the patent lawyer and I contacted Mr. McCrosky. I had contacted Mr. McCrosky in March when I was in Indiana with my mother (she was sent to hospice home while I was visiting) She died 2 weeks after I returned from Indiana and I have been trying to get something started up again about my invention.

Please Revive my patent